

JOHN S. SHELDON Managing Director Tel: 212-278-5414

Fax: 212-278-5388

December 29, 1995

Ms. Marie M. Mookini
Director of MBA Admissions
MBA Admissions Office
Stanford University Graduate School of Business
350 Memorial Way
Stanford, CA 94305-5015

Dear Ms. Mookini,

It is with great enthusiasm that I recommend Deepak Moorjani for the Stanford University MBA program. In my seven years at The Lodestar Group/LSG Advisors and my eight years at Goldman Sachs, I have had the pleasure of working with many exceptional bankers. Of all the MBA candidates for whom I have chosen to write recommendations, Deepak stands among the top candidates in terms of the skills he has developed and the contributions I believe he will make to your MBA program. This letter is designed to provide my reactions to questions number 1 through 6 on the enclosed application. I would be happy to amplify further on anything contained herein.

Deepak's greatest strengths are a combination of highly developed financial, technical and strategic analytical skills and his well developed judgment regarding when and how to apply such skills. I often find Deepak helping me reformulate my views regarding what truly is "the question" or "the problem" or the "real situation". Deepak is surprisingly mature in reading a situation and determining what are the critical issues. In short, Deepak has an impressively unique combination of raw analytical capability with a very thoughtful perspective of considering most of the relevant points of view on the situation at hand. This perspective is unparalleled by most young businessmen his age.

Deepak's weakness are few, and I believe he is well aware of them. Not surprisingly Deepak has somewhat limited patience with individuals who struggle with the complexities of multidimensional analytical challenges. On the other hand, I have observed Deepak train some of our younger bankers and he has shown a real capability to explain and teach concepts to others. Another weakness is one from which many highly successful bankers at Deepak's level suffer. Deepak, like others, struggles with the transition from conceptual analysis and the action plan such analysis implies to the commercial realities of a business context and having to motivate disparate parties to accept the appropriate solution.

In addition to Deepak's raw analytical abilities, I have always found his work to be very precise and consistent with a high degree of attention to detail. For a banker at his stage of development, Deepak is very concise in forming both his written and spoken word. At our firm,



Ms. Marie Mookini December 29, 1995 Page 2

Deepak has shown incredible initiative in identifying technology, broadly defined, as a field on which to focus with an objective of developing banking opportunities. In this regard I am quite impressed with the thoughtfulness of Deepak's approach, the knowledge of technology that he has assimilated and his ability to choose discreet, specific potential transactions on which to focus. I believe that it is highly likely that a particular foray of Deepak's will result in our firm being engaged by a major U.S. technology firm, a very rare accomplishment for a banker at Deepak's stage of development.

Deepak has demonstrated very effective interpersonal skills with both senior bankers and subordinates. He is very facile in shifting from the contributions required of a subordinate to providing the necessary leadership to colleagues working with and for him. At times Deepak has not been as consistent in applying his interpersonal skills as he is capable of but what is more important is his sincere willingness to address this situation.

Given the nature of our firm, it is rare for a young banker to have the opportunity to truly show his leadership skills. However, I have noticed that Deepak is very capable at training young bankers and is also highly effective in dealing on his own with clients, other bankers or lawyers in situations when left on his own. Deepak is very capable at generating appropriately deserved respect from others who are usually older and much more experienced.

In choosing and considering any other elements that may impact Deepak's candidacy, I believe that this letter covers most of the relevant descriptive information. However, as a final thought, I strongly believe that Deepak is a very unique candidate for your program. It has been a long time since I have recommended a candidate with as interesting a mix of innate intelligence, analytical judgment, commercial focus, entrepreneurialism and tenacity. I have no reservations whatsoever that Deepak will make many significant contributions to your MBA program that materially distinguish him from other candidates.

Sincerely,



Stanford University Graduate School of Business 350 Memorial Way Stanford, California 94305-5015

(415) 723-2766; fax: (415) 725-7831

MA : 1' TD	ENCE	1.22		
Master of Business A	Administration Program, Autu	IIII 1990		
To the applicant: Please type of	or print your name in ink.			
FAMILY NAME OR SURNAME	FIRST	MOCLE		
Moorjani	Deepak	Gulab		
I hereby	e 🔲 do not waive my right of acces	☐ do not waive my right of access to this Letter of Reference.		
,				
Signature		Date		
Signature	completed and signed, we will consider your			

TO THE RECOMMENDER:

Thank you for taking the time to write on behalf of this candidate, who is applying to the Master of Business Administration Program at the Graduate School of Business at Stanford University. We have found that the most useful recommendations provide detailed descriptions and specific anecdotes of the candidate's intellectual abilities and personal qualities as they relate to his or her potential for senior-level management. This kind of information helps us to distinguish the very best candidates from among a pool of many well-qualified ones.

We would appreciate your answering the questions listed on the back of this sheet. Please return this form and your recommendation to the applicant in a sealed envelope, with your signature across the seal. (Please retain a copy of this form and your letter for your files.)

The applicant will submit the sealed, signed envelope to us as part of the completed application backage. If you prefer, you may submit the form directly to our office. We will send you a postcard to let you know that your recommendation has arrived in our office.

We appreciate your efforts on benalf of the candidate and look forward to hearing from you. Your perspective is important to us, as is the degree of enthus asm and confidence with which you support the candidate's application to the Graduate School of Business.

Marie Ster Duice

Director of MB4 Admissions

NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM	POSITION / TITLE				
John S. Sheldon	Managing Director				
ORGANIZATION	FAX WIMEER				
LSG Advisors	212-278-5388				
ADDRESS					
1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 1002	20				
HOW LONG HAVE YOU KNOWN THE APPLICANT? DURING WHICH PERIOD OF TIME	from month year to (month / year)				
2 years 8 months HAVE YOU HAD THE MOST FREQUEN CONTACT WITH THE APPLICANT?	same				
MAY WE CONTACT YOU AT WORK REGARDING THIS APPLICANT? Yes No Telephone	ne: 212 - 278 - 541 -				
DEFINE YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THE APPLICANT AND DESCRIBE THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH YOU	HAVE KNOWN HIM OR HER.				
Since I have known Deepate he he	is worked decing asa				
Since I have known Deepate he he directly reporting subordinate	and incertain coxists a college				
Signature Local Zhulden	Date December 29, 1995				
	continued on other side)				

For answers to the following questions please see the attached:

- 1. What are the applicant's greatest strengths? In what ways might you distinguish the applicant from other able individuals at his or her professional level?
- 2. What are the applicant's weaknesses or developmental needs?
- 3. Comment on the applicant's abilities in his or her area of professional or academic specialization (technical knowledge, analytical ability, attention to detail, creativity, imaginative problem-solving, etc.).
- 4. Describe the applicant's interpersonal skills (effectiveness in establishing and maintaining relationships, working with supervisors, peers, and subordinates; sensitivity to those less competent; willingness to work in a team environment, etc.).
- 5. Discuss the applicant's performance in leadership roles, including specific strengths and weaknesses. If you have not had the opportunity to observe the applicant in a leadership role, please comment on his or her potential for senior-level management.
- 6. Is there anything else about this applicant that you feel is relevant to our assessment of his or her candidacy (e.g., personal integrity, maturity, demonstrated commitment to improving the lives of others, impact and unusual accomplishments in the workplace)?

The following checklist provides a convenient method to describe the candidate in summary fashion, using the applicant's

Average (TOP 50%) (TOP 25%) (TOP 10%) (TOP 2%) Analytical/quantitative skills Initiative/motivation Intellectual curiosity Creativity Energy Demonstrated leadership potential Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others	peer gro	oup as your reference group. Please tell ngs in your recommendation.	us what peer	group you ha	ve chosen to	use, and fee Le cure	I free to expla	ain any of
NO BASIS FOR JUDGEMENT ANAlytical/quantitative skills Analytical/quantitative skills Initiative/motivation Intellectual curiosity Creativity Energy Demonstrated leadership potential Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others BELOW AVERAGE (TOP 50%) VERY GOOD (TOP 10%) OUTSTANDING EXCEPTION (TOP 2%) INTOP 10%) OUTSTANDING EXCEPTION (TOP 2%) OUTSTANDING (TOP 2%) OUTSTANDING EXCEPTION (TOP 2%) OUTSTANDING EXCEPTION (TOP 2%) OUTSTANDING EXCEPTION (TOP 2%) OUTSTANDING EXCEPTION (TOP 2%)	the	responsibility 100 hed with at LS6	Admir	is and	dass Eprevie	ociate ously Go	oldvan,	Sadista
Initiative/motivation Intellectual curiosity Creativity Energy Demonstrated leadership potential Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others	NO BASIS FOR		BELOW	AVERAGE	GOOD	VERY GOOD	OUTSTANDING	EXCEPTIONAL (TOP 2%)
Intellectual curiosity Creativity Energy Demonstrated leadership potential Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others		Analytical/quantitative skills						\times
Creativity Energy Demonstrated leadership potential Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others		Initiative/motivation					X	
Energy Demonstrated leadership potential Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others		Intellectual curiosity					×	
Demonstrated leadership potential Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others		Creativity				×		
Ability to work as a team member Sensitivity to others		Energy					×	
Sensitivity to others		Demonstrated leadership potential				×		
		Ability to work as a team member			\times			
Perpect accorded by management		Sensitivity to others			×			
nespect accorded by management		Respect accorded by management					X	
Respect accorded by peers	34	Respect accorded by peers		-		×		
Overall rating		Overall rating			*		×	